encruzilhadas – Encontro de homenagem a Paulo Abrantes (pp. 83-101). Lisbon: APM.
[7] Lesh, R., & Doerr, H. (2003). Foundations of a models and modeling perspective on mathematics teaching, learning, and problem solving. In R. Lesh & H. Doerr (Eds.), *Beyond constructivism – Models and modeling perspectives on mathematics problem solving, learning, and teaching*, (pp. 3-33). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum Associates.
[8] Bishop, A., & Goffree, F. (1986). Classroom organization and dynamics. In B. Christiansen, A. G. Howson & M. Otte (Eds.), *Perspectives on mathematics education* (pp. 309-365). Dordrecht: D. Reidel.
[9] Hiebert, J. (1984). Children's mathematics learning: The struggle to link form and understanding. *The Elementary School Journal*, *84*(5), 497-513.
[10] Noss, R., Healy, L. & Hoyles, C. (1997). The construction of mathematical meanings: Connecting the visual with the symbolic. *Educational Studies in Mathematic*, *33*(2), 203-233.
[11] NCTM (2000). *Principles and Standards for Schools Mathematics*. Reston, VA: NCTM.
[12] Ministério da Educação (2007). Programa de matemática do ensino básico. Lisboa: ME.
[13] Downton, A. & Sullivan, P. (2017). Posing complex problems requiring multiplicative thinking prompts students to use sophisticated strategies and build mathematical connections, *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, *95*(3), 303-328.
[14] García-García, J., & Dolores-Flores, C. (2018). Intra-mathematical connections made by high school students in performing Calculus tasks. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, *49*(2), 227–252.
[15] Ell, F., Irwin, K., McNaughton, S. (2004). Two pathways to multiplicative thinking. In I. Put, R. Faragher, & M. McLean (Eds.), *Mathematics education for the third millennium, towards 2010*, (pp. 199-206). Sydney, Australia: MERGA.
[16] Yao, X. & Manouchehri, A. (2019). Middle school students' generalizations about properties of geometric transformations in a dynamic geometry environment. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, *55*, 1-19.
[17] Hoyles, C. & Noss, R. (2009). The technological mediation of mathematics and its learning. *Human Development*, *52*, 129-147.
[18] Barrera-Mora, F., & Reyes-Rodríguez, A. (2013). Cognitive processes developed by students when solving mathematical problems within technological environments. *The Mathematics Enthusiast*, *1*-2, 109-136.
[19] Hoyles, C., Noss, R., Kent, P., & Bakker. A. (2010). *Improving mathematics at work: The need for techno-mathematical literacies.* London: Routledge.
[20] Geraniou, E. & Jankvist, U. T. (2019). Towards a definition of "mathematical digital competency". *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, *102*, 29–45.
[21] Barron, B., Martin, C., & Roberts, E. (2007). Sparking self-sustained learning: report on a design experiment to build technological fluency and bridge divides. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, *17*(1), 75-105.
[22] Merriam, S. B. (2009). *Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation.* San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
[23] Carreira, S., & Jacinto, H. (2019). A model of mathematical problem solving with technology: The case of Marco solving-and-expressing two geometry problems. In P. Liljedahl, & M. Santos-Trigo (Eds.), *Mathematical problem solving. ICME-13 Monographs* (pp. 41–62). New York: Springer.
[24] Jacinto, H., & Carreira, S. (2017). Different ways of using GeoGebra in Mathematical Problem-Solving beyond the classroom: evidences of techno-mathematical fluency. *Bolema - Boletim de Educação Matemática*, *31*(57), 266-288.